

Chain Length Dependence of the Photovoltaic Properties of Monodisperse Donor–Acceptor Oligomers as Model Compounds of Polydisperse Low Band Gap Polymers

Cheng Zhou, Yamin Liang, Feng Liu, Chen Sun, Xuelong Huang, Zengqi Xie, Fei Huang,* Jean Roncali,* Thomas P. Russell,* and Yong Cao

Well-defined conjugated oligomers (S_n) containing from 1 to 8 units of a tricyclic building block involving a dioctyloxybenzothiadiazole unit with two thienyl side rings ($S1$) are synthesized by a bottom-up approach. UV–Vis absorption data of solutions show that chain extension produces a narrowing of the HOMO–LUMO gap (ΔE) to values slightly smaller than that of the parent polymer ($P1$). Plots of ΔE and of the band gap of films (E_g) versus the reciprocal chain length show that ΔE and E_g converge towards a limit corresponding to an effective conjugation length (ECL) of 7–8 $S1$ units. UV–Vis absorption and photoluminescence data of solutions and solid films show that chain extension enhances the propensity to inter-chain aggregation. This conclusion is confirmed by GIXD analyses which reveal that the edge-on orientation of short-chain systems evolves toward a face-on orientation as chain length increases while the π -stacking distance decreases beyond 7 units. The results obtained on solution-processed BHJ solar cells show a progressive improvement of power conversion efficiency (PCE) with chain extension; however, the convergence limit of PCE remains inferior to that obtained with the polymer. These results are discussed with regard to the role of mono/polydispersity and chain aggregation.

1. Introduction

Organic photovoltaic (OPV) is a focus of considerable current interest in a general context marked by the emergence of energy and environmental concerns.^[1] OPV cells are basically developed along two main lines namely vacuum deposition of insoluble donor (D) and acceptor (A) molecules to form planar stacked D/A heterojunctions,^[2] and bulk heterojunctions (BHJ) in which donor and acceptor materials form interpenetrated segregated networks.^[3]

Solution-processed bulk heterojunction (BHJ) cells combine the advantages of a larger interfacial D/A contacting area, simpler and less expensive fabrication technology and larger versatility in the choice of the substrates. In recent years, intensive multi-disciplinary research efforts has led to the synthesis of a huge number of new materials and to impressive progress in power conversion efficiency (PCE) which recently reached the symbolic ceiling of 9–10%.^[4]

Soluble π -conjugated polymers combine easy processing, good film-forming capability and tunable light-harvesting and charge-transport properties; therefore, during several years, these materials have represented the only class of donor materials for BHJ cells.^[5] However, the polydispersity inherent to polymers can represent a possible source of problems regarding the reproducibility of the synthesis, purification and hence composition and electronic properties of the final active material.^[6]

A possible alternative consists in the use of molecules of unequivocal chemical structure as donor material.^[7] In the past few years, this approach has generated intensive research activity and PCE of $\approx 8.0\%$ were recently reported for solution-processed BHJ cells based on molecular donors.^[8] An obvious advantage of molecular donors is that they allow more reliable analyses of structure-properties relationships that remain one of the key of the design of new materials.


Well-defined π -conjugated oligomers have been widely investigated as models of the corresponding polymers.^[9] A huge investment in synthetic chemistry has permitted the

C. Zhou, Y. Liang, C. Sun, X. Huang, Prof. Z. Xie,
Prof. F. Huang, Prof. Y. Cao
Institute of Polymer Optoelectronic
Materials and Devices
State Key Laboratory of Luminescent
Materials and Devices
South China University of Technology
Guangzhou 510640, P. R. China
E-mail: msfhuang@scut.edu.cn

F. Liu, Prof. T. P. Russell
Department of Polymer Science and Engineering
Conte Research Center and
Department of Chemical Engineering
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA 01003, USA
E-mail: russell@mail.pse.umass.edu
Prof. J. Roncali
Group Linear Conjugated Systems CNRS
MOLTECH-Anjou
University of Angers 2 Bd Lavoisier
49045, Angers, France
E-mail: jeanroncali@gmail.com

DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201401945





Scheme 1. Structure of oligomers S1–S8.

analysis the chain-length dependence of the electronic properties of many classes of π -conjugated systems such as, for example, oligo-phenylenes,^[10] -phenylenevinyls,^[11] -thiophenes,^[12–14] -thienylenevinyls,^[15] or -fluorenes,^[16,17] and thus to significantly progress in the understanding of structure-properties relationships. These previously reported oligomers have focused primarily on homopolymers with electron-rich (donor (D)) units. However, low band gap polymers based on combination of D and A units are the most widely investigated and most efficient polymeric donor materials for OPV.^[18] Hence, well-defined oligomers combining D and A blocks are desirable for the analysis of structure-properties relationships in low band gap D-A polymers.^[19] Furthermore, the understanding of the differences in the properties of oligomers and their polymeric counterparts and finding the bridge that connects small molecules to polymers represent important topics in materials based on conjugated systems and can strongly contribute to a rational design of high performance OPV materials.^[20]


In this context, we report here on the synthesis and characterization of a series of well-defined oligomers involving one (S1) to eight (S8) units of the D-A-D 5,6-bis(octyloxy)-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)-[1,2,5]thiadiazole basic structure (Scheme 1). The chain-length dependence of the thermal, optical, electrochemical and structural properties of S1–S8 have been investigated in solution and on thin solid films with reference to two different fractions of the parent low band gap polymer (P1 and P2). These various materials have been used as donor material for the fabrication of solution-processed BHJ cells with [6,6]-phenyl-C₇₁-butyric acid methyl ester (PC₇₁BM) as electron acceptor and the obtained results are discussed in terms of structure-properties relationships.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis

Compound S1 was obtained using a known procedure.^[21] Oligomers S2–S8 have been synthesized by various combinations of bromination and Stille coupling reactions (Scheme 2). The mono- and di-stannylated Stille reagents Sn-S1, dSn-S1, and dSn-S2 are prepared by treatment of S1 or S2 with LDA and tributyl- or trimethyl-tin chloride and used without further purification. The bromo compounds Br-S1, dBr-S1, Br-S2, dBr-S2, and Br-S3 were obtained by reaction of NBS or Stille coupling with the appropriate oligomer. Oligomers were purified by Soxhlet extraction, column chromatography on silica gel and recycling preparative HPLC. The chemical structures were confirmed by NMR spectrometry and MALDI-TOF-MS (Figure 1, and Supporting Information). Representative ¹H NMR spectra of S2 and S4 are shown in Figure 1a. The ratio of integration of internal thiophene protons (H₄, H₅) and aliphatic protons increases regularly with chain length. However, ¹HNMR signals become rounded for the longer systems due to their strong aggregation in deuterated chloroform. Although ¹³C NMR spectra of S5–S8 were difficult to obtain, MALDI-TOF-MS and NMR data clearly confirm that the oligomers were successfully synthesized. The small peaks in MALDI-TOF-MS spectra are mainly caused by the dissociation of alkyl chains (–C₈H₁₇, 113 m/z).

The thermal properties of the oligomers and polymers have been characterized by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). DSC curves show clear melting and crystallization at temperatures that increase with chain length (Figure 2 and Table S1, SI). Compound S1 is a viscous liquid at room temperature



Scheme 2. Synthesis of the oligomers a) LDA, Bu_3SnCl ; b) LDA, Me_3SnCl ; c) NBS; d) $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$; e) $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$.

while compound **S2** shows multiple melting points possibly associated with phase transitions.

The corresponding reference polymers have been synthesized by microwave-assisted Stille coupling of 4,7-dibromo-5,6-bis(octyloxy)benzo[*c*][1,2,5]thiadiazole and 5,5'-bis(trimethylstannyl)-2,2'-bithiophene. The use of different molar ratios of the two reagents (i.e., 1.1:1.0 and 1.2:1.0, **Scheme 3**) leads to two samples of polymers **P1** and **P2** with different molecular weights (MW) and polydispersity index (PDI).^[22] It is noteworthy that the MW of these polymers can be well controlled by using a slight excess of one of the reagents whereas a 1.0:1.0 molar ratio leads to an almost insoluble material.

2.2. Optical Properties

Figure 3 shows the UV-Vis absorption spectra of the oligomers **S1-S8** and polymers **P1-2** in chloroform solution and as thin films while the data for the complete series are listed

in **Table 1**. As expected, chain extension leads to a progressive bathochromic shift of the absorption maximum (λ_{max}) with an increase of the molecular absorption coefficient (ϵ) and decrease of the HOMO-LUMO gap ΔE . The solution spectra of the longest oligomers **S7** and **S8** shows the emergence of a shoulder around 660 nm. Such a feature which is also clearly apparent in the spectra of the two polymers **P1** and **P2**, can be attributed to the aggregation of the conjugated chains in solution. This attribution is further supported by the decrease of the intensity of the "aggregation shoulder" in the spectra recorded at higher temperature (60 °C) (**Figure S3**, SI). Interestingly, no aggregation was observed in solutions of di-dodecyl-quaterthiophene of similar maximum length (24 aromatic rings).^[14] The faster chain aggregation of **Sn** can be attributed to donor-acceptor interactions between D and A blocks of adjacent chains which results in stronger inter-chain interactions. It is interesting to observe that the intensity of the aggregation shoulder of **S8**, **P1** and **P2**, correlates well with the MW of the three materials. The spectra **P1** and **P2** present larger absorption bands than **S8** with a full width at half




Figure 1. a) ^1H NMR spectra of oligomers **S2** and **S4** in deuterated chloroform; b) MALDI-TOF-MS spectra of **S1-S8** using terthiophene as the matrix.




Figure 2. a) Heating and b) cooling DSC traces of the oligomers.

maximum (FWHM) increasing from 150 nm for **S8** to 173 and 193 nm for **P2** and **P1** respectively. This difference reflects the presence of different lengths of conjugated segments in the polydisperse polymers, in agreement with the GPC traces (Figure S2, SI). On the other hand, comparison of the spectra of the two polymers shows that the spectrum of **P1** is broader in agreement with the higher PDI of 2.6 vs 2.4 for **P2**, while the aggregation shoulder is more intense.


As shown in Table 1, the absorption spectra of films of the oligomers and polymers present a red-shift of λ_{max} compared to the solution. This phenomenon observed for many π -conjugated systems is generally interpreted as a signature of *J*-aggregates in the solid although some enhancement of the planarity of the structure induced by inter-chain packing in the solid can also play a role.^[23] It is noteworthy that the λ_{max} of the longest oligomer **S8** in chloroform is red-shifted by 23 nm compared to **P2** and 11 nm compared to **P1**. This result shows that extended well-defined oligomers can reach effective conjugation length (ECL) longer than that of the parent polydisperse polymer. This result provides a first indication for an ECL of the polymer comprised between 7 and 8 **S1** units. Finally comparison of the energy gap values in Table 1 shows that E_g converges faster than ΔE which indicates that chain aggregation provides a determining contribution to the width of the band gap.

Extrapolations to an infinite chain-length of plots of quantities such as λ_{max} , HOMO–LUMO gap (ΔE), band gap (E_g), or oxidation potential (E_{ox}) versus the reciprocal chain length have been widely used to predict the properties of infinite defect-free polymer chains.^[17] These investigations have shown that chain extension leads to a decrease of ΔE which converges towards a limiting value that should in principle, correspond to that of the polymer. The absolute value of ΔE and the rate at which it

converges to the limit, or in other words the minimal chain length needed to reach this limit depends on structural factors such as bond-length alternation, planarity, aromaticity, as well as on the presence of donor and/or acceptor substituents.^[24] This chain length thus represents the intrinsic ECL of the system.

Figure 4a shows a plot of the λ_{max} of **S1–S7** in solution and as solid films versus chain-length expressed by the number of repetitive units in the conjugated system (*n*). The two curves show a parallel evolution with a progressive attenuation of the red shift of λ_{max} upon incremental chain extension. For both series the convergence limit suggests an ECL of *n* = 7–8 for the polymer. The band gap (E_g) of the oligomers and polymers has been determined from the onset of absorption of the films (Table 1). Plots of ΔE and E_g versus $1/n$ (**Figure 4b**) shows a linear dependence for the shorter terms with a deviation from linearity around **S7,S8** confirming that the ECL limit is reached and that the limiting value of the band gap is equal to that of **S8** namely ≈ 1.70 eV. Extrapolation of ΔE and E_g to infinite chain length would suggest limiting values of 2.07 and 1.58 eV respectively this difference confirms that care should be observed in the use of extrapolations for predicting the limiting properties of infinite polymer chains.^[13] On the other hand, it can be noticed that the slope of E_g versus $1/n$ is higher than for ΔE versus $1/n$. This difference reflects the contribution of chain aggregation in the convergence of the energy gap in the solid state.

Figure 5a shows the photoluminescence spectra of **S1–S8**. As expected the emission maximum shifts bathochromically with chain extension and converges towards a constant wavelength of ≈ 630 nm for **S8** similar to that of **P1** and **P2**. The progressive emergence of a shoulder on the long wavelength side of spectrum as chain length increases is attributed to the emission



Scheme 3. Synthesis of the polymers **P1** and **P2**. The MW and PDI were determined by a PL-GPC 220 in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene at 150 °C with linear polystyrene as reference and gave Mn = 4.2 and 5.8 kDa for **P2** and **P1** respectively. It is worth noting that the MW of the longest oligomer **S8** (4.4 kDa) is comprised between these two values (Figure S2, SI).




Figure 3. UV-Vis. absorption spectra of oligomers and polymers a) in CHCl_3 , b) in film, c) in CHCl_3 .

of aggregated species. The spectra recorded at ambient temperature show that for **S8** and the polymers, the spectrum exhibits an intense peak at ≈ 700 nm indicating that emission of aggregates is predominant and possibly enhanced by Förster energy transfer from excitons of isolated chains to aggregates.^[25] Heating the solution to 60°C leads to an increase of the intrinsic emission of single chains due to the dissociation of aggregates (Figure 5b). Again, it can be noticed that despite a MW higher than that of **P2**, **S8** aggregates dissociate more easily.

2.3. Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed in acetonitrile with tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as the supporting electrolyte. Due to the difficulty to obtain well-defined CV in methylene chloride or acetonitrile solutions, the oxidation potentials (E_{ox}) were estimated using the onset of oxidation wave of thin films deposited on platinum electrodes from chloroform solutions. (Figure S5, SI). Table 1 shows that as expected, chain extension leads to a gradual decrease of E_{ox} which converges to a value of ≈ 0.20 V for **S5**, **S6** (Figure 6). These E_{ox} values lead to estimated levels of the valence band levels (E_{vb}) decreasing from -5.55 eV for **S1** to ≈ -5.00 eV for **S6–S8** and the polymers. This faster convergence of the band

gap compared to ΔE , can be related to decrease of the oxidation potential of isolated oligomer chains due to interchain interactions in the solid-state.^[26]

2.4. Structural Investigations

The chain packing in thin films of the oligomers and polymers has been characterized by grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXD).^[27] Figure 7 shows the 2-D diffractograms of thin films spun-cast from chloroform solution. The **S2** image shows strong, diffraction peaks indicative of high crystallinity. The diffraction peaks were recorded in the out-of-plane (OOP) direction, to probe the structure order of the materials normal to the substrate. A q value of 0.33 \AA^{-1} recorded for the **S2** OOP peak, corresponds to a spacing of 1.9 nm. This spacing is assigned to the (100) spacing (inter-lamellae spacing) of **S2** suggesting that the molecule adopts an edge-on orientation on the substrate. The longer oligomers show reduced crystallinity. All diffractograms display a diffraction ring around 0.2 \AA^{-1} assigned to the (100) spacing. Another ring around $1.5\text{--}1.6\text{ \AA}^{-1}$ is attributed to the $\pi\text{--}\pi$ stacking. The azimuthal distribution of these diffraction rings indicates a weak orientation of the crystallites inside the film. This model suggests that whereas **S2** adopts an edge-on orientation with a high crystallinity, chain extension (**S3–S5**) leads to more a disordered and amorphous material. For **S6–S8**,

Table 1. UV-Vis absorption and cyclic voltammetric data for the oligomers and polymers.

Compd	λ_{max} [nm]	ϵ_{max} [$\text{M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$]	$\lambda_{\text{max, film}}$ [nm]	ΔE [eV]	$E_{\text{g}}^{\text{a})}$ [eV]	$E_{\text{ox}}^{\text{b})}$ [V]	$E_{\text{vb}}^{\text{c})}$ [eV]
S1	432	9880	444	2.87	2.46	0.75	−5.55
S2	501	46 400	527	2.48	1.98	0.49	−5.29
S3	532	86 100	569	2.33	1.85	0.35	−5.15
S4	546	104 800	582	2.27	1.79	0.27	−5.07
S5	553	126 000	592	2.24	1.75	0.21	−5.01
S6	560	166 200	606	2.21	1.74	0.19	−4.99
S7	569	181 300	599	2.18	1.72	0.20	−5.00
S8	599	220 800	603	2.07	1.69	0.19	−4.99
P2	576	22 300 ^{d)}	609	2.15	1.71	0.19	−4.99
P1	588	21 700 ^{d)}	609	2.11	1.73	0.18	−4.98

^{a)}Based on the long wavelength absorption onset; ^{b)}Versus Fc/Fc^+ ; ^{c)}Based on the onset of oxidation of thin films cast on platinum; ^{d)}Polymers' absorption coefficient base on single repeat unit.




Figure 4. a) Variation of the absorption maximum of oligomers S1–S7 versus chain length. b) Plot of ΔE top and E_g vs reciprocal chain length for S1–S7.

the chain adopts a preferential face-on orientation. For the polymers, a clear enhancement of π - π stacking in OOP direction is seen, and the peak area of P1 is more pronounced than P2. As already mentioned the MW of S8 is of the same range of P2 (Figure S2). The induced face-on orientation could be due




Figure 5. Photoluminescence spectra of the oligomers and polymers in chloroform: a) at room temperature; b) S8, P1, P2 heated to 60 °C.




Figure 6. Evolution of the oxidation potential versus chain length.

to the larger MW component of the polymer that would act as template for the packing organization. The line-cut profiles in OOP direction are summarized in Figure 8 in which information related to the diffraction peaks are fitted and summarized. Figure 8a, shows that the (100) spacing is around 30 Å for S3,

and gradually decreases to 26 Å for S7 (the S5 sample show a very weak crystalline peak, thus fitting could induce more error). The d spacing increases when going to higher MW. The parabola-shaped change in d spacing suggests a possible transition in packing in the materials. The peak area can be used to estimate the relative crystallinity of the films. For (100) peaks, there is no obvious trend for the oligomer series while a small enhancement is seen for the polymer. The crystal size in the (100) direction is in the ≈ 10 nm range, except for the S5 and S7 samples. The d spacing of π - π stacking peaks shows a plateau from S2 to S7 (with a spacing ≈ 4.1 Å), and dropped to 3.75 Å for P1. Although the trend is different from (100) stacking, a turning point at S7 is observed. It was also found that the relative crystallinity and crystal size start to increase from S7. These results confirm, in agreement with optical and electrochemical data that S7 which corresponds to the ECL of the system, represents a transition point where the properties of oligomers turn towards more polymer-like properties. In fact such a morphological transition around the ECL was recently observed for oligothiophenes.^[14]

Figure 9 and Figure S7 show the crystallographic structure of a single-crystal of S2. Dihedral angles of 25.50° and 16.56° are found between the thiophenes and benzothiadiazole rings. The internal thiophenes adopt a coplanar anti conformation and the sulfur atoms of the thiophene rings point to the opposite direction to the thiadiazole




Figure 7. 2-D diffractograms of thin films of oligomers and polymers spun-cast from chloroform solution.




Figure 8. a) Out-of-plane line-cut profiles of oligomers and polymers in GIXD. b) Structure analysis.




Figure 9. X-ray single-crystal structure of S2.

rings. An extended distance of 6.998 Å for two adjacent molecule planes in the π -stacking direction and alkyl chains interpenetrating are found (CCDC 1007944).

2.5. Photovoltaic Properties

The photovoltaic properties of the oligomers and polymers were investigated on BHJ solar cells of inverted architecture of 16 mm² active area using PC₇₁BM as electron acceptor. The photoactive layer donor:acceptor with an optimal weight ratio of 1:2 was spin-cast on top of pre-fabricated PF₃N-OX (poly[(9,9-bis(3'-(*N,N*-dimethylamino)propyl)-2,7-fluorene)-*alt*-2,7-(9,9-bis(3-ethyl(oxetane-3-ethoxy)-hexyl)-fluorene)]) layer from a 1:1 dichlorobenzene/chloroform solution. The active layer was heated at 80 °C for 10 min to remove residual solvent before thermal evaporation of MoO₃. The structure of the device was ITO/PF₃N-OX/Active Layer/MoO₃/Aluminium.^[28]

Figure 10 shows the current density (*J*) vs voltage (*V*) of the cells under AM 1.5 simulated solar illumination while the corresponding data are listed in Table 2. As expected, the open-circuit voltage (*V*_{oc}) decreases with the oxidation potential of the donor and hence from S2 to S8. The *J* versus *V* curves show that chain extension of the donor leads to an increase of the short-circuit current density (*J*_{sc}) from ≈3.00 mA cm⁻² for S3 to 7.20–7.50 mA cm⁻² for S6–S8. Combined with a gradual increase of the filling factor (FF) from 28 to 44%, these results lead to an increase of PCE from 0.80 to ≈2.50%. However, the cells based on the polymers show significantly better performances with *J*_{sc} values ≈50% higher than those obtained with S6–S8 and improved FF leading to PCE of 3.75 and 4.40% for P2 and P1 respectively.

The external quantum efficiency (EQE) of the various cells under monochromatic light is shown in Figure 11. The spectrum of the cell based on S2 shows a broad response extending from 400 to 740 nm with a maximum of ≈20% at 470 nm. This




Figure 10. Current density vs voltage curves of the BHJ cells based on the oligomers and polymers under AM 1.5 simulated solar illumination with an incident power intensity of 100 mW cm⁻².

maximum clearly apparent in all EQE spectra corresponds to the contribution of PC₇₁BM to the photocurrent. The spectrum exhibits a broad shoulder around 550 nm attributed to the red shifted absorption of S2 in the solid state while the 5–6% shoulder around 700 nm can be attributed to the aggregated phase. The spectra of the cells based on longer donors exhibit rather similar features with a progressive red-shift of the photo-response and increase of the photo-current. The cells based on S6, S7 and S8 give rather similar results with a broad maximum of ≈40% around 550 nm while the relative contribution of the absorption band associated with aggregation increases. Finally, the EQE spectra of the cells based on the polymers clearly show that in both cases a significant part of the photo-current is generated in the region corresponding to the aggregation band. These results agree well with the data obtained under white light illumination and confirm that the PV performances increase with the length of the donor but seem to converge toward a limit for systems donors containing 6–8 basic units. The performances of the cells based on the polymers clearly represent a qualitative jump with an increase of the maximum EQE from 40–45% for S6–S8 to ≈55% for P1 while the maximum EQE shifts bathochromically from 550 to

Table 2. Optimized OPV device parameters under AM 1.5 G illumination (100 mW cm⁻²) and hole-mobilities measured on OFETs.

Donor	<i>V</i> _{oc} [V]	<i>J</i> _{sc} [mA cm ⁻²]	FF [%]	PCE [%]	μ_h [cm ² V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹]
S2	0.97	2.97	27.8	0.80[0.76] ^{a)}	-
S3	0.90	5.09	31.4	1.44[1.32]	2.19 × 10 ⁻⁶
S4	0.82	5.53	32.6	1.48[1.41]	5.45 × 10 ⁻⁵
S5	0.80	5.71	32.7	1.50[1.43]	3.85 × 10 ⁻⁵
S6	0.78	7.12	37.2	2.06[1.93]	1.07 × 10 ⁻⁵
S7	0.78	7.34	40.2	2.30[2.24]	2.65 × 10 ⁻⁵
S8	0.74	7.22	43.9	2.35[2.26]	1.63 × 10 ⁻⁵
P2	0.76	10.16	48.5	3.75[3.54]	4.56 × 10 ⁻⁴
P1	0.74	11.41	52.3	4.41[4.33]	9.59 × 10 ⁻⁴

^{a)}The values in brackets indicate the average values of PCEs.




Figure 11. Spectra of external quantum efficiency for BHJ cells based on the oligomers and polymers as donor and PC₇₁BM as acceptor.

630 nm. Comparison with the UV-Vis. absorption spectra of thin films (Figure S3c, SI) confirms that for the cells based on the longest oligomers and polymers, the maximum EQE is observed in the spectral range where absorption of the aggregated conjugated chains is predominant.

In order to complete these observations, the hole-mobility (μ_h) of thin films of oligomers and polymers has been determined on top-contact organic field-effect transistors (OFETs) fabricated on n-doped silicon substrates with thermally grown SiO₂ dielectric (see SI).

As shown in Table 2, while oligomers **S2-S8** show μ_h values in the range of 10^{-5} – 10^{-4} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ with no clear correlation with chain-length, polymers **P2** and especially **P1** show much higher mobilities. These results suggest that the higher hole-mobility of the polymers contributes to the higher PCE of the polymer-based cells and in particular to the better FF values. In fact, a strong effect of the increase of hole-mobility on PCE independently of any other factors has been previously observed for molecular donors of a same series.^[29] Thus, although the longest oligomer **S8** present a comparable molecular weight and even a slightly longer ECL than the polymer in solution, optical, structural and photovoltaic data provide convergent evidences indicating that in the solid-state, the stronger aggregation behavior of the polydisperse polymer is a key factor that leads to higher hole-mobility, bathochromically extended light-harvesting properties and thus higher PCE due to larger J_{sc} and FF.^[30]

These results thus pose the problem of the role of polydispersity in the stronger ability of the polymer chains to aggregate. A first explanation would invoke the presence in the polymer of chains longer than the ECL of 7–8 units. Since the ECL is reached, UV-Vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra give no indication on this point, however, the GPC traces in Figure S2 (SI), suggest that the stronger propensity of **P1** to aggregate is correlated with the presence of a large fraction of chains longer than the ECL represented by the GPC trace of **S8**. These longer chains, even if present in limited amounts, would act as glue for shorter chains. They serve as the morphology build framework, induce face-on orientation, reduce the π - π stacking distance, and promote the photovoltaic performance. However a contribution of shorter oligomers in the overall aggregation process cannot be a priori excluded.

3. Conclusion

A series of well-defined hybrid donor-acceptor oligomers of a tricyclic system involving a benzothiadiazole acceptor block and two thiophene side units have been synthesized and the chain-length dependence of their electronic properties have been analyzed with reference to the corresponding polydisperse polymer. Optical data obtained in solution show that chain extension produces a red shift of λ_{max} and that highly extended oligomers can reach a longer ECL than the polymer. UV-Vis absorption and photoluminescence results provide clear evidences for an increasing propensity for inter-chain aggregation probably enhanced by the D-A structure of the conjugated system. A chain length of 7–8 units, that is, 21–24 rings corresponds at the same time to the convergence limit of the optical HOMO-LUMO gap and to a turning point where the system evolves towards a polymer-like aggregation behavior. In the solid-state, the band gap of oligomers rapidly converges to the same value as the polymer. Electrochemical results on solid films suggest that this process is related to the rapid convergence of the valence band level due to inter-chain interactions.

GIXD investigations on films have shown that short-chain oligomers adopt an edge-on orientation evolving towards a more face-on orientation as chain length increases while the π - π stacking distance gradually decreases after **S7**. The results obtained on BHJ solar cells show that, besides an expected decrease of V_{oc} , the lengthening of the donor chain leads to an increase of PCE with a convergence limit for 6–8 basic units, that is, the ECL of the system. However, the performances of the cells based on the polymers clearly evidence a further substantial increase of efficiency. Experimental results show that this phenomenon is due to the conjunction of several factors associated with aggregation namely improved light-harvesting properties, higher hole-mobility and better chain packing.

This work thus underlines the limits of the “classical” oligomer model and of the control of the HOMO-LUMO gap for the synthesis of OPV materials. This confirms that besides molecular engineering of the electronic properties, the structural control of inter-chains interactions and aggregation is one of the keys of the design of OPV materials based on linearly π -conjugated systems. On this basis, a possible direction for further work on monodisperse D-A oligomers as active OPV materials could focus on the structural control of enhanced intermolecular interactions of short-chain systems.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author. It contains experimental details of the synthesis of oligomers and polymers, instruments, measurements, and fabrication procedures and characterization details of the OPV and OFET devices.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (No. 2014CB643501), the Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21125419 and 51361165301), Guangdong Natural Science

Foundation (Grant No. S2012030006232) and Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20120172140001). Morphological characterization of the materials was supported by Polymer-Based Materials for Harvesting Solar Energy (PHaSE), an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under award number DE-SC0001087. The authors acknowledge Dr. Ji-Jun Jiang (Sun Yat-Sen University) for crystal structure determination.

Received: June 13, 2014

Revised: August 29, 2014

Published online: October 6, 2014

- [1] a) G. Yu, J. Gao, J. C. Hummelen, F. Wudl, A. J. Heeger, *Science* **1995**, 270, 1789; b) J. J. M. Halls, C. A. Walsh, N. C. Greenham, E. A. Marseglia, R. H. Friend, S. C. Moratti, A. B. Holmes, *Nature* **1995**, 376, 498; c) C. J. Brabec, N. S. Sariciftci, J. C. Hummelen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2001**, 11, 15; d) Z. He, C. Zhong, X. Huang, W.-Y. Wong, H. Wu, L. Chen, S. Su, Y. Cao, *Adv. Mater.* **2011**, 23, 4636.
- [2] a) B. C. Thompson, J. M. Frechet, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 58; b) L. T. Dou, J. B. You, Z. R. Hong, Z. Xu, G. Li, R. A. Street, Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, 25, 6642; c) Y. J. He, Y. F. Li, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, 13, 1970.
- [3] a) D. Wöhrle, D. Meissner, *Adv. Mater.* **1991**, 3, 129; b) N. S. Sariciftci, L. Smilowitz, A. J. Heeger, F. Wudl, *Science* **1992**, 258, 1474; c) P. Sharma, K. Sreenivas, K. V. Rao, *J. Appl. Phys.* **2003**, 93, 3963; d) A. Mishra, P. Bauerle, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51, 2020.
- [4] a) Z. C. He, C. M. Zhong, S. J. Su, M. Xu, H. B. Wu, Y. Cao, *Nat. Photonics* **2012**, 6, 591; b) J. You, L. Dou, K. Yoshimura, T. Kato, K. Ohya, T. Moriarty, K. Emery, C. C. Chen, J. Gao, G. Li, Y. Yang, *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, 4, 1446; c) S. Liu, K. Zhang, J. Lu, J. Zhang, H.-L. Yip, F. Huang, Y. Cao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 15326.
- [5] a) Y. F. Li, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, 45, 723; b) C. Cui, W.-Y. Wong, Y. Li, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, 7, 2276; c) Z. Liu, F. Xu, D. D. Yan, *Acta Chim. Sin.* **2014**, 72, 171.
- [6] W. Li, L. Yang, J. R. Tumbleston, L. Yan, H. Ade, W. You, *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, 26, 4456.
- [7] a) J. Roncali, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 1719; b) Y. W. Li, Q. Guo, Z. F. Li, J. N. Pei, W. J. Tian, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2010**, 3, 1427; c) B. Walker, C. Kim, T.-Q. Nguyen, *Chem. Mater.* **2010**, 23, 470; d) Y. Z. Lin, Y. F. Li, X. W. Zhan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, 41, 4245.
- [8] a) J. Y. Zhou, Y. Zuo, X. J. Wan, G. K. Long, Q. Zhang, W. Ni, Y. S. Liu, Z. Li, G. R. He, C. X. Li, B. Kan, M. M. Li, Y. S. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 8484; b) A. K. K. Kyaw, D. H. Wang, D. Wynands, J. Zhang, T. Q. Nguyen, G. C. Bazan, A. J. Heeger, *Nano Lett.* **2013**, 13, 3796.
- [9] a) J. S. Sears, R. R. Chance, J.-L. Bredas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 13313; b) J. L. Bredas, J. Cornil, D. Beljonne, D. dos Santos, Z. G. Shuai, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, 32, 267; c) P. Brocorens, E. Zojer, J. Cornil, Z. Shuai, G. Leising, K. Mullen, J. L. Bredas, *Synth. Met.* **1999**, 100, 141; d) H. Meier, U. Stalmach, H. Kolshorn, *Acta Polym.* **1997**, 48, 379; e) R. E. Martin, F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, 38, 1350.
- [10] a) R. Schenk, H. Gregorius, K. Meerholz, J. Heinze, K. Muellen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, 113, 2634; b) J. A. He, J. L. Crase, S. H. Wadumethrige, K. Thakur, L. Dai, S. Z. Zou, R. Rathore, C. S. Hartley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 13848.
- [11] H. Meier, J. Gerold, H. Kolshorn, W. Baumann, M. Bletz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, 41, 292.
- [12] a) P. Bauerle, T. Fischer, B. Bidlingmeier, A. Stabel, J. P. Rabe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1995**, 34, 303; b) G. Bidan, A. De Nicola, V. Enee, S. Guillerez, *Chem. Mater.* **1998**, 10, 1052; c) T. Izumi, S. Kobashi, K. Takimiya, Y. Aso, T. Otsubo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 5286.
- [13] J. Gierschner, J. Cornil, H. J. Egelhaaf, *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, 19, 173.
- [14] L. Zhang, N. S. Colella, F. Liu, S. Trahan, J. K. Baral, H. H. Winter, S. C. Mannsfeld, A. L. Briseno, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 844.
- [15] a) E. H. Elandaloussi, P. Frere, P. Richomme, J. Orduna, J. Garin, J. Roncali, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 10774; b) I. Jestin, P. Frere, N. Mercier, E. Levillain, D. Stievenard, J. Roncali, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, 120, 8150; c) J. Roncali, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, 33, 147.
- [16] a) Y. H. Geng, A. Trajkovska, D. Katsis, J. J. Ou, S. W. Culligan, S. H. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 8337; b) Q. L. Wang, Y. Qu, H. K. Tian, Y. H. Geng, F. S. Wang, *Macromolecules* **2011**, 44, 1256.
- [17] J. H. Jo, C. Y. Chi, S. Hoger, G. Wegner, D. Y. Yoon, *Chem-Eur. J.* **2004**, 10, 2681.
- [18] a) Y. J. Cheng, S. H. Yang, C. S. Hsu, *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, 109, 5868; b) J. Chen, Y. Cao, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 1709; c) Y. Liang, L. Yu, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2010**, 43, 1227; d) P.-L. T. Boudreault, A. Najari, M. Leclerc, *Chem. Mater.* **2010**, 23, 456; e) L. J. Huo, S. Q. Zhang, X. Guo, F. Xu, Y. F. Li, J. H. Hou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, 50, 9697.
- [19] a) X. Liu, Y. Sun, L. A. Perez, W. Wen, M. F. Toney, A. J. Heeger, G. C. Bazan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 20609; b) X. Liu, Y. Sun, B. B. Y. Hsu, A. Lorbach, L. Qi, A. J. Heeger, G. C. Bazan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, 136, 5697; c) J. Liu, Y. Sun, P. Moonsin, M. Kuik, C. M. Proctor, J. Lin, B. B. Hsu, V. Promarak, A. J. Heeger, T.-Q. Nguyen, *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, 25, 5898; d) M. Melucci, L. Favaretto, A. Zanelli, M. Cavallini, A. Bongini, P. Maccagnani, P. Ostojic, G. Derue, R. Lazzaroni, G. Barbarello, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2010**, 20, 445.
- [20] a) S. S. Zade, N. Zamoshchik, M. Bendikov, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, 44, 14; b) W. Li, K. H. Hendriks, A. Furlan, W. S. C. Roelofs, S. C. J. Meskers, M. M. Wienk, R. A. J. Janssen, *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, 26, 1565; c) F. P. V. Koch, M. Heeney, P. Smith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 13699.
- [21] a) M. Helgesen, S. A. Gevorgyan, F. C. Krebs, R. A. J. Janssen, *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, 21, 4669; b) P. Ding, C. C. Chu, B. Liu, B. Peng, Y. P. Zou, Y. H. He, K. C. Zhou, C. S. Hsu, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, 211, 2555.
- [22] R. C. Coffin, J. Peet, J. Rogers, G. C. Bazan, *Nat. Chem.* **2009**, 1, 657.
- [23] a) F. Wurthner, C. Thalacker, S. Diele, C. Tschierske, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, 7, 2245; b) S. Ghosh, X.-Q. Li, V. Stepanenko, F. Wurthner, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, 14, 11343.
- [24] J. Roncali, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 173.
- [25] U. Lemmer, S. Heun, R. F. Mahrt, U. Scherf, M. Hopmeier, U. Siegner, E. O. Göbel, K. Müllen, H. Bässler, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, 240, 373.
- [26] K. Takimiya, I. Osaka, M. Nakano, *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, 26, 587.
- [27] a) J. Rivnay, S. C. B. Mannsfeld, C. E. Miller, A. Salleo, M. F. Toney, *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, 112, 5488; b) F. Liu, Y. Gu, X. Shen, S. Ferdous, H.-W. Wang, T. P. Russell, *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2013**, 38, 1990; c) W. Chen, T. Xu, F. He, W. Wang, C. Wang, J. Strzalka, Y. Liu, J. Wen, D. J. Miller, J. Chen, K. Hong, L. Yu, S. B. Darling, *Nano Lett.* **2011**, 11, 3707.
- [28] a) Y. Dong, X. Hu, C. Duan, P. Liu, S. Liu, L. Lan, D. Chen, L. Ying, S. Su, X. Gong, F. Huang, Y. Cao, *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, 25, 3683; b) K. Zhang, X. Guan, F. Huang, Y. Cao, *Acta Chim. Sin.* **2012**, 70, 2489.
- [29] T. Rousseau, A. Cravino, E. Ripaud, P. Leriche, S. Rihn, A. De Nicola, R. Ziessel, J. Roncali, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 5082.
- [30] R. Noriega, J. Rivnay, K. Vandewal, F. P. V. Koch, N. Stingelin, P. Smith, M. F. Toney, A. Salleo, *Nat. Mater.* **2013**, 12, 1037.